

Esquimalt Road Urban Design Guidelines Open House #2

Comment Sheet

The Township of Esquimalt has prepared draft Urban Design Guidelines for Esquimalt Road, from Canteen Road in the west to Dominion Road in the east. This project forms a key part of the Township’s Economic Development Strategy and is also an important component of the Official Community Plan review process. This second Open House is an opportunity for you to provide feedback on the draft guidelines. Please review the work that is presented at this Open House, and give us your feedback by completing this comment sheet, which you can drop off on your way out or email in to the Township at bill.brown@esquimalt.ca. We value your input. Thank you.

Please tell us where do you live:

Esquimalt	<input type="checkbox"/>	Langford	<input type="checkbox"/>	Songhees First Nation	<input type="checkbox"/>
Central Saanich	<input type="checkbox"/>	Metchosin	<input type="checkbox"/>	Sooke	<input type="checkbox"/>
Colwood	<input type="checkbox"/>	North Saanich	<input type="checkbox"/>	Victoria	<input type="checkbox"/>
Esquimalt First Nation	<input type="checkbox"/>	Oak Bay	<input type="checkbox"/>	View Royal	<input type="checkbox"/>
Highlands	<input type="checkbox"/>	Saanich	<input type="checkbox"/>	Prefer not to answer	<input type="checkbox"/>

Other, please specify: _____

Refer to Board #4 – Urban Design Framework:

Question 1: Do you agree that maximum building heights should vary along the length of Esquimalt Road?

Yes No

Do you have any other comments about varying building heights?

Question 2: Do you agree that the tallest buildings should be located in the Downtown Precinct and at the Head Street Activity Node?

Yes No

Do you have any other comments about where the tallest buildings should be located?

Question 3: Do you agree that taller buildings should generally be permitted on the north side and shorter buildings on the south side of Esquimalt Road, to optimize sunlight and views?

Yes No

Do you have any other comments about where taller/shorter buildings should be located?

Question 4: Do you agree that front building setbacks should be required, to widen sidewalks and enhance the public realm?

Yes No

Do you have any other comments about building setbacks?

Question 5: Should buildings be required to step back above the ground floor, in order to allow more sunlight penetration onto adjacent sidewalks?

Yes No

Do you have any other comments about buildings stepping back above the ground floor?

The following questions relate to Boards 6 – 11 which illustrate a range of proposed streetscape enhancements for different portions of the Esquimalt Road corridor.

Refer to Board #6 – Street Section 1 West of Admirals Road:

Question 6: Do you support the proposed streetscape enhancements for this part of Esquimalt Road?

Yes No

If you answered no, please tell us why not.

Refer to Board #7 – Street Section 2 Downtown Precinct:

Question 7: Do you support the proposed streetscape enhancements for this part of Esquimalt Road?

Yes

No

If you answered no, please tell us why not.

Refer to Board #8 – Street Section 3 Downtown Precinct:

Question 8: Do you support the proposed streetscape enhancements for this part of Esquimalt Road?

Yes

No

If you answered no, please tell us why not.

Refer to Board #9 – Street Section 4 East of Lampson Street:

Question 9: Do you support the proposed streetscape enhancements for this part of Esquimalt Road?

Yes

No

If you answered no, please tell us why not.

Refer to Board #10 – Street Section 5 East of Head Street:

Question 10: Do you support the proposed streetscape enhancements for this part of Esquimalt Road?

Yes

No

If you answered no, please tell us why not.

Refer to Board #11 – Street Section 2 West of Dunsmuir Road:

Question 11: Do you support the proposed streetscape enhancements for this part of Esquimalt Road?

Yes

No

If you answered no, please tell us why not.

Refer to Board #12 – Sketch Views:

Question 12: What do you think about the illustrated sketch views of potential new development?

Public Realm Enhancement Priorities:

The following have emerged as the most important public realm enhancements, in order of priority (other than burying hydro lines, which has proved impractical and is therefore not being proposed):

- 1 Wider sidewalks
- 2 Building setbacks on private property
- 3 Upgraded sidewalk paving
- 4 Wayfinding, Gateway Signs, Public Art
- 5 New street furniture (e.g. benches, bike racks, garbage cans, etc.)
- 6 New pedestrian lights

Question 13: Do you agree with these public realm enhancement priorities?

Yes No

If not, please tell us what your public realm enhancement priorities are:

Do you have any other comments about the Design Guidelines?
